



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,977	04/28/2005	Lily Ka-Lai Cheng	120270.129854	3081

28440 7590 03/05/2009  
WARNER, NORCROSS & JUDD  
IN RE: ALTICOR INC.  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP  
111 LYON STREET, N. W. STE 900  
GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49503-2489

EXAMINER
----------

TORRES RUIZ, JOHALI ALEJANDRA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2838

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

03/05/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/532,977	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CHENG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JOHALI A. TORRES RUIZ	<b>Art Unit</b> 2838	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JOHALI A. TORRES RUIZ. (3) Charles F. Burpee.

(2) Richard V. Muralidar. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 2/24/2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 41.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sapo (U.S. Patent Number 6,803,744).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed proposed amendments to overcome the Sabo reference. Applicant will consider suggestion to incorporate the Fig. 9 embodiments in his response..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/J. A. T./ Examiner, Art Unit 2838	/Akm Enayet Ullah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2838
---------------------------------------	--